## DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL v. LEHMKUHL.

## [Cite as Disciplinary Counsel v. Lehmkuhl, \_\_\_ Ohio St.3d \_\_\_, 2014-Ohio-2172.]

(No. 2012-1719—Submitted May 20, 2014, 2014—Decided May 23, 2014.)

ON APPLICATION FOR REINSTATEMENT.

- {¶ 1} This cause came on for further consideration upon the filing of an application for reinstatement by respondent, Phillip Douglas Lehmkuhl, Attorney Registration No. 0021246, last known business address in Mt. Vernon, Ohio.
- $\{\P\ 2\}$  The court coming now to consider its order of March 27, 2014, wherein the court suspended respondent from the practice of law until respondent paid all costs and accrued interest in full, finds that respondent has substantially complied with that order and with the provisions of Gov.Bar R. V(10)(A).
- $\{\P\ 3\}$  Therefore, it is ordered by this court that respondent is reinstated to the practice of law in the state of Ohio.
- $\{\P 4\}$  It is further ordered that the clerk of this court issue certified copies of this order as provided for in Gov.Bar R. V(8)(D)(1), that publication be made as provided for in Gov.Bar R. V(8)(D)(2), and that respondent bear the costs of publication.

O'CONNOR, C.J., and PFEIFER, O'DONNELL, LANZINGER, KENNEDY, FRENCH, and O'NEILL, JJ., concur.