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## SliP Opinion No. 2014-Ohio-1563

The State ex rel. West, Appellant, v. McDonnell, Judge, Appellee.
[Until this opinion appears in the Ohio Official Reports advance sheets, it may be cited as State ex rel. West v. McDonnell, Slip Opinion No. 2014-Ohio-1563.]

Court of appeals' judgment dismissing petition for writ of prohibition affirmedObjection to alleged failure of clerk of courts to provide itemized bill of court costs is premature.
(No. 2013-0952—Submitted November 19, 2013—Decided April 17, 2014.)
Appeal from the Court of Appeals for Cuyahoga County, No. 99085, 2013-Ohio-1043.

## Per Curiam.

\{đ 1\} Relator-appellant, Timothy West ("West"), and his brother, Todd West, filed separate, nearly identical actions in the Eighth District Court of Appeals, each seeking a writ of prohibition against respondent-appellee, Cuyahoga Common Pleas Court Judge Nancy McDonnell. The court of appeals
granted Judge McDonnell's motion to dismiss both actions. The brothers filed separate, timely appeals with this court.
\{ $\boldsymbol{1} \mathbf{2 \}}$ Because the facts surrounding the brothers' convictions and the forfeiture of their property are nearly identical, we adopt the facts set forth in our opinion in Todd West's case, State ex rel. West v. McDonnell, ___ Ohio St.3d __, 2014-Ohio-1562, __ N.E.3d __, as the facts in this case. For the same reasons that we rejected Todd West's two propositions of law, which are identical to Timothy West’s first to propositions of law, we also reject Timothy West's first two propositions of law.
\{ $\mathbb{1}$ 3\} In his third proposition of law, West objects to the manner in which court costs have been assessed against him. Specifically, West objects to the alleged failure of the clerk of courts to provide him with a copy of an itemized bill. Assuming this is a proper matter to raise in this appeal, we nevertheless reject West's argument.
\{ $\mathbb{4} 4$ The clerk of the court of common pleas is required to prepare an itemized bill of costs upon the rendition of any judgment. R.C. 2303.28. The clerk cannot issue an execution for costs unless an itemized statement has been rendered. Id.; see also R.C. 2335.19(C).
\{ $\mathbb{1} \mathbf{5 \}}$ Pursuant to R.C. 2303.03(A), the clerk of the court of common pleas also serves as clerk of courts for the court of appeals.
\{ $\boldsymbol{\|} \mathbf{6 \}}$ According to West, the cost bill sent by the clerk of courts was not itemized. However, West failed to submit a copy of the cost bill for the court's review. The appellate court record does contain a statement of costs, but it is unclear if this is the same document of which West complains.
\{ๆ 7\} Moreover, West has not alleged that the clerk of courts has issued a certificate of judgment against him for those costs or undertaken any collection attempts. Therefore, his objection is premature at best.
\{ $\boldsymbol{\|} \mathbf{8 \}}$ Based on the foregoing, we affirm the judgment of the court of appeals.

Judgment affirmed.
O’Connor, C.J., and Pfeifer, O’Donnell, Lanzinger, Kennedy, French, and O’Neill, JJ., concur.
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