

[Cite as *Cincinnati Bar Assn. v. Wiest*, ___ Ohio St.3d ___, 2018-Ohio-187.]

CINCINNATI BAR ASSOCIATION v. WIEST.

[Cite as *Cincinnati Bar Assn. v. Wiest*, ___ Ohio St.3d ___, 2018-Ohio-187.]

(No. 2016-0263—Submitted January 17, 2018—Decided January 19, 2018.)

ON APPLICATION FOR REINSTATEMENT.

{¶ 1} This cause came on for further consideration upon the filing of an application for reinstatement by respondent, Christopher David Wiest, Attorney Registration No. 0077931, last known address in Fort Mitchell, Kentucky.

{¶ 2} The court coming now to consider its order of December 19, 2016, wherein the court, pursuant to Gov.Bar R. V(12)(A)(3), suspended respondent from the practice of law for a period of two years, with the second year stayed on the condition that he engage in no further misconduct, finds that respondent has substantially complied with that order and with the provisions of Gov.Bar R. V(24).

{¶ 3} Therefore, it is ordered by this court that respondent is reinstated to the practice of law in the state of Ohio.

{¶ 4} It is further ordered that the clerk of this court issue certified copies of this order as provided for in Gov.Bar R. V(17)(D)(1) and that publication be made as provided for in Gov.Bar R. V(17)(D)(2).

{¶ 5} For earlier case, see *Cincinnati Bar Assn. v. Wiest*, 148 Ohio St.3d 683, 2016-Ohio-8166, 72 N.E.3d 621.

O’CONNOR, C.J., and O’DONNELL, KENNEDY, FRENCH, O’NEILL, FISCHER, and DEWINE, JJ., concur.
