IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF OHIO TENTH APPELLATE DISTRICT

State of Ohio, :

Plaintiff-Appellee, :

v. : No. 10AP-399

(C.P.C. No. 04CR-05-2970)

John R. Crosky, :

(REGULAR CALENDAR)

Defendant-Appellant.

DECISION

Rendered on October 28, 2010

Ron O'Brien, Prosecuting Attorney, and Steven L. Taylor, for appellee.

John R. Crosky, pro se.

APPEAL from the Franklin County Court of Common Pleas

TYACK, P.J.

{¶1} John R. Crosky is appealing from the trial court's failure to re-sentence him after he filed a motion entitled "Motion to Impose Valid Sentence." He assigns a single error for our consideration:

The sentences are void for failure to comply with Statutory requirements regarding Postrelease Control.

{¶2} This is Crosky's third appeal. In his first appeal, we reduced the number of charges for which he was convicted and remanded the case for a new sentencing.

No. 10AP-399

Following his second sentencing, he appealed again and we affirmed the second set of

sentences. At each of his sentencing hearings, he was advised that he was subject to

five years of mandatory post-release control ("PRC").

{¶3} Nothing about the trial court proceedings makes Crosky's sentence void.

Even if there were potential defects in the sentences, the defects should have been

presented to the court in Crosky's previous appeals. They were not.

{¶4} The sole assignment of error is overruled. The judgment of the Franklin

County Court of Common Pleas is affirmed.

Judgment affirmed.

BRYANT and FRENCH, JJ., concur.
