

[Cite as *State v. Mendoza*, 2020-Ohio-1551.]

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS
TWELFTH APPELLATE DISTRICT OF OHIO
BUTLER COUNTY

STATE OF OHIO,	:	
Appellee,	:	CASE NO. CA2019-11-186
- vs -	:	<u>DECISION</u>
	:	4/20/2020
SANDALIO RAMIR CARDENAS	:	
MENDOZA,	:	
Appellant.	:	

APPEAL FROM HAMILTON MUNICIPAL COURT
Case Nos. 19CRB03058, 19CRB03059, 19CRB03060, and 19CRB03061

Lititia Block, City of Hamilton Prosecuting Attorney, 345 High Street, Hamilton, Ohio 45011,
for appellee

Engel & Martin, LLC, Mary K. Martin, 4660 Duke Drive, Suite 101, Mason, Ohio 45040, for
appellant

Per Curiam.

{¶1} This cause came on to be considered upon a notice of appeal filed by appellant, Sandalio Ramir Cardenas Mendoza, the transcript of the docket and journal entries, the transcript of proceedings and original papers from the Hamilton Municipal Court, and upon the brief filed by appellant's counsel.

{¶2} Appellant's counsel has filed a brief with this court pursuant to *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738, 87 S.Ct. 1396 (1967), which (1) indicates that a careful review of the record from the proceedings below fails to disclose any errors by the trial court prejudicial to the rights of appellant upon which an assignment of error may be predicated; (2) lists two potential errors "that might arguably support the appeal," *Anders*, at 744, 87 S.Ct. at 1400; (3) requests that this court review the record independently to determine whether the proceedings are free from prejudicial error and without infringement of appellant's constitutional rights; (4) requests permission to withdraw as counsel for appellant on the basis that the appeal is wholly frivolous; and (5) certifies that a copy of both the brief and motion to withdraw have been served upon appellant.

{¶3} Having allowed appellant sufficient time to respond, and no response having been received, we have accordingly examined the record and find no error prejudicial to appellant's rights in the proceedings in the trial court. The motion of counsel for appellant requesting to withdraw as counsel is granted, and this appeal is dismissed for the reason that it is wholly frivolous.

M. POWELL, P.J., S. POWELL and PIPER, JJ., concur.