# IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF OREGON

#### STATE OF OREGON, Plaintiff-Respondent,

v.

#### CAMERON CARMICHAEL CRIMMINS, Defendant-Appellant.

#### Washington County Circuit Court C130526CR

## A154631

Thomas W. Kohl, Judge.

Argued and submitted on October 29, 2014.

Kyle Krohn, Deputy Public Defender, argued the cause for appellant. With him on the briefs was Peter Gartlan, Chief Defender, Office of Public Defense Services.

Gregory A. Rios, Assistant Attorney General, argued the cause for respondent. On the briefs were Ellen F. Rosenblum, Attorney General, Anna M. Joyce, Solicitor General, and Leigh A. Salmon, Assistant Attorney General.

Before Armstrong, Presiding Judge, and Nakamoto, Judge, and Egan, Judge.

PER CURIAM

Portion of judgment requiring defendant to pay attorney fees reversed; otherwise affirmed.

## **DESIGNATION OF PREVAILING PARTY AND AWARD OF COSTS**

Prevailing party: Appellant

No costs allowed.

Costs allowed, payable by Costs allowed, to abide the outcome on remand, payable by

# PER CURIAM

2	Defendant appeals a judgment of conviction, after a bench trial, for
3	unlawful delivery of methamphetamine, ORS 475.890, and unlawful possession of
4	methamphetamine, ORS 475.894, both as commercial drug offenses. He was sentenced
5	to 58 months in prison and ordered to pay, among other amounts, \$1,100 in court-
6	appointed attorney fees. He first asserts that the trial court erred in admitting evidence of
7	his nonverbal statement to policeviz., nodding his head in response to an officer's
8	questionafter he had been Mirandized. That claim of error is unpreserved, and it does
9	not constitute error apparent on the record. See ORAP 5.45(1) (authorizing appellate
10	court to consider unpreserved error of law apparent on the record); State v. Brown, 310
11	Or 347, 355, 800 P2d 259 (1990) (describing requirements for plain error review).
12	Accordingly, we reject it without further discussion.
13	Defendant also contends that the trial court plainly erred in ordering him to
13 14	Defendant also contends that the trial court plainly erred in ordering him to pay \$1,100 in court-appointed attorney fees without considering his ability to pay. The
14	pay \$1,100 in court-appointed attorney fees without considering his ability to pay. The
14 15	pay \$1,100 in court-appointed attorney fees without considering his ability to pay. The state concedes the error, and we agree. <i>See, e.g., State v. Chavez</i> , 263 Or App 187, 326
14 15 16	pay \$1,100 in court-appointed attorney fees without considering his ability to pay. The state concedes the error, and we agree. <i>See, e.g., State v. Chavez</i> , 263 Or App 187, 326 P3d 629, <i>rev den</i> , 356 Or 163 (2014) (holding that the imposition of court-appointed
14 15 16 17	pay \$1,100 in court-appointed attorney fees without considering his ability to pay. The state concedes the error, and we agree. <i>See, e.g., State v. Chavez,</i> 263 Or App 187, 326 P3d 629, <i>rev den,</i> 356 Or 163 (2014) (holding that the imposition of court-appointed attorney fees is plain error where the record is silent as to the defendant's ability to pay
14 15 16 17 18	pay \$1,100 in court-appointed attorney fees without considering his ability to pay. The state concedes the error, and we agree. <i>See, e.g., State v. Chavez,</i> 263 Or App 187, 326 P3d 629, <i>rev den,</i> 356 Or 163 (2014) (holding that the imposition of court-appointed attorney fees is plain error where the record is silent as to the defendant's ability to pay the fees ordered); <i>State v. Coverstone,</i> 260 Or App 714, 320 P3d 670 (2014) (same). We

1	this case. See, e.g., State v. Callentano, 263 Or App 190, 191, 326 P3d 630 (2014)
2	(exercising discretion to correct error under similar circumstances); Chavez, 263 Or App
3	at 188 (same). Cf. State v. Baco, 262 Or App 169, 171, 324 P3d 491, rev den, 355 Or 751
4	(2014) (declining to exercise plain-error review where the court imposed \$510 in attorney
5	fees, the defendant's probationary sentence did not prevent him from working, and the
6	defendant had agreed to the state's recommendation of the same amount of attorney fees
7	with regard to a different charge sentenced at the same time). Accordingly, we accept the
8	state's concession, and we reverse that portion of the judgment.
9	Portion of judgment requiring defendant to pay attorney fees reversed;

10 otherwise affirmed.