

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE  
STATE OF OREGON

In the Matter of A. O.,  
a Child.

DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES,  
*Petitioner-Respondent,*

*v.*

R. O.  
and K. O.,  
*Appellants.*

Marion County Circuit Court  
20JU05820; A175604

Natasha A. Zimmerman, Judge pro tempore.

Submitted September 29, 2021.

Kristen G. Williams filed the brief for appellant R. O.

Shannon Storey, Chief Defender, Juvenile Appellate Section, and Daniel J. Casey, Deputy Public Defender, Office of Public Defense Services, filed the briefs for appellant K. O.

Ellen F. Rosenblum, Attorney General, Benjamin Gutman, Solicitor General, and Jon Zunkel-deCoursey, Assistant Attorney General, filed the brief for respondent.

Before Lagesen, Presiding Judge, and James, Judge, and Kamins, Judge.

PER CURIAM

Appeal dismissed.

**PER CURIAM**

Mother and father appeal from a review judgment under ORS 419B.449. They assign error to the juvenile court's determination in that judgment that the Department of Human Services (DHS) has made reasonable efforts to reunify the family. But, under the circumstances present here, the review judgment is not appealable. *See Dept. of Human Services v. A. B. B.*, 285 Or App 409, 413, 396 P3d 306 (2017), *rev dismissed*, 362 Or 508 (2018) (citing *Dept. of Human Services v. A. D. D. B.*, 278 Or App 503, 509, 511, 375 P3d 575, *rev den*, 360 Or 237 (2016), and adhering to previous understanding of the appealability of judgments iterated in *State ex rel Juv. Dept. v. Vockrodt*, 147 Or App 4, 8, 934 P2d 620 (1997)). Contrary to mother's argument, *Dept. of Human Services v. J. R. D.*, 312 Or App 510, \_\_\_ P3d \_\_\_ (2021), did not overrule or abrogate our prior cases; *J. R. D.* involved a permanency judgment under ORS 419B.476, not a review judgment. We reject the contention that *A. B. B.* and our prior decisions were plainly wrong and decline to overrule them.

Appeal dismissed.