

**FILED: April 25, 2012**

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF OREGON

In the Matter of  
A. T., a Child.

DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES,  
Petitioner-Respondent,

v.

L. B. T.,  
Appellant.

Coos County Circuit Court  
06JV0083

Petition Number  
022211AT

A149908 (Control)

---

In the Matter of  
A. C. T., a Child.

DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES,  
Petitioner-Respondent,

v.

L. B. T.,  
Appellant.

Coos County Circuit Court  
06JV0083

Petition Number  
022211AT

A150490

Richard L. Barron, Judge.

Submitted on March 28, 2012.

Peter Gartlan, Chief Defender, and Holly Telerant, Deputy Public Defender, Appellate Division, Office of Public Defense Services, filed the brief for appellant.

John R. Kroger, Attorney General, Anna M. Joyce, Solicitor General, and Jeff J. Payne, Assistant Attorney General, filed the brief for respondent.

Before Ortega, Presiding Judge, and Sercombe, Judge, and Hadlock, Judge.

PER CURIAM

Reversed and remanded.

1 PER CURIAM

2 In this dependency case, mother appeals a judgment changing the  
3 permanency plan for her child from reunification to adoption. She contends that the  
4 juvenile court erred in failing to "include on the face of the judgment its determination of  
5 whether there was any reason under ORS 419B.498(2) to defer the filing of a petition to  
6 terminate mother's parental rights, as required by ORS 419B.476(5)(d)." The state  
7 concedes that the permanency judgment does not include the findings required pursuant  
8 to ORS 419B.476(5)(d) and that the case must, therefore, be reversed and remanded. *See*  
9 [\*State ex rel Juv. Dept. v. J. F. B.\*](#), 230 Or App 106, 115, 214 P3d 827 (2009) (permanency  
10 judgments that failed to include statutorily required findings were defective on their  
11 face); [\*State ex rel DHS v. M. A.\*](#), 227 Or App 172, 181-82, 205 P3d 36 (2009) (reversing  
12 and remanding permanency judgments that did not include required findings). We agree  
13 and accept the state's concession.<sup>1</sup>

14 Reversed and remanded.

---

<sup>1</sup> Because we accept the state's concession and reverse and remand with respect to mother's fourth assignment of error, we do not address her remaining assignments of error.