

IN THE MAGISTRATE DIVISION  
OF THE OREGON TAX COURT

Property Tax

LAWRENCE L. BROSCART, dba	)	
Consolidated Electronics,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	No. 000310D
	)	
v.	)	
	)	
MULTNOMAH COUNTY ASSESSOR,	)	
	)	
Defendant.	)	<b>DECISION OF DISMISSAL</b>

Plaintiff filed a Complaint. This Complaint did not include the notice he was appealing from and the additional fee for filing a standard case. The court specifically requested that plaintiff provide this information. The court went on to warn that failing to provide the information would result in dismissal.

Ample opportunity has been given to supply the information. No response has been made. Therefore, the court concludes that the appeal should be dismissed. Now, therefore;

IT IS THE DECISION OF THIS COURT that the Complaint in the above-entitled matter be dismissed.

Dated this \_\_\_\_\_ day of June, 2000.

\_\_\_\_\_  
JILL A. TANNER  
MAGISTRATE

**IF YOU BELIEVE YOU HAVE A GOOD REASON EXPLAINING WHY THIS DECISION SHOULD BE SET ASIDE, YOU MAY PROVIDE THE REQUESTED INFORMATION AND REQUEST THAT YOUR APPEAL BE REINSTATED. YOUR REQUEST MUST GIVE A GOOD REASON FOR YOUR FAILURE TO PROVIDE THE INFORMATION EARLIER.**

**THIS DOCUMENT WAS SIGNED BY MAGISTRATE JILL A. TANNER ON JUNE 9, 2000.**

**THE COURT FILED THIS DOCUMENT ON JUNE 9, 2000.**