## NON-PRECEDENTIAL DECISION - SEE SUPERIOR COURT I.O.P. 65.37

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA | IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF PENNSYLVANIA

Appellee

٧.

WAYNE PETTAWAY A/K/A WAYNE PETTIGREW

Appellant No. 1695 WDA 2012

Appeal from the PCRA Order October 27, 2012 In the Court of Common Pleas of Allegheny County Criminal Division at No(s): CP-02-CR-0005725-2006, CP-02-CR-0005729-2006, CP-02-CR-0006010-2006 and CP-02-CR-0006031-2006

\*\*\*\*

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF PENNSYLVANIA

Appellee

٧.

WAYNE PETTAWAY

Appellant No. 26 WDA 2013

Appeal from the Order December 6, 2012
In the Court of Common Pleas of Allegheny County
Criminal Division at No(s): CP-02-CR-0005725-2006,
CP-02-CR-0005729-2006, CP-02-CR-0006010-2006
and CP-02-CR-0006031-2006

BEFORE: BENDER, J., LAZARUS, J., and STRASSBURGER, J.\*

\* Retired Senior Judge assigned to the Superior Court.

\_\_\_\_

J-S33024-13

JUDGMENT ORDER BY LAZARUS, J.

**FILED MAY 30, 2013** 

We hereby QUASH Wayne Pettaway's appeal. Pettaway has failed to

comply with Pa.R.A.P. 2111 because his brief does not include a statement

of jurisdiction, the order in question, a statement of the scope of review and

standard of review, a statement of the questions involved or a summary of

argument.

While the pro se brief contains references to, and summaries of,

several state and federal cases, Pettaway fails to set forth an argument why

the court erred by dismissing as time-barred his petition under the Post

Conviction Relief Act, 42 Pa.C.S. §§ 9541-9546, which was filed three and

one-half years after his conviction became final.

If the defects in a brief are substantial, this Court may quash the

appeal. **See** Pa.R.A.P. 2101.

Appeal quashed.

Judgment Entered.

Deputy Prothonotary

Date: <u>5/30/2013</u>

- 2 -