HARRIET MARLIN

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF PENNSYLVANIA

Appellant

٧.

PETER LAX

Appellee No. 2796 eda 2013

Appeal from the Order Entered April 25, 2013
In the Court of Common Pleas of Montgomery County
Civil Division at No(s): 2013-01605

BEFORE: PANELLA, J., LAZARUS, J., and JENKINS, J.

JUDGMENT ORDER BY JENKINS, J.:

**FILED MAY 12, 2014** 

Harriet Marlin ("Appellant") appeals *pro se* from the Order of the Montgomery County Court of Common Pleas denying Appellant's Petition to Initiate a Predatory Lending Lawsuit. We dismiss the appeal for the following reasons.

The Pennsylvania Rules of Appellate Procedure set forth mandatory briefing requirements for litigants. **See** Pa.R.A.P. 2101 et seq. We may quash or dismiss an appeal where an appellant's brief fails to substantially conform to the briefing requirements. Pa.R.A.P. 2101; **see also Commonwealth v. Adams**, 882 A.2d 496, 497-98 (Pa.Super.2005) (Superior Court may quash or dismiss appeals where parties filed non-conforming briefs).

J-A15043-14

Appellant filed a one-page brief that ignores the Rules of Appellate Procedure governing the content of briefs and fails to develop meaningful

rocedure governing the content of briefs and fails to develop meaningful

arguments. Although this court may construe pro se briefs liberally, pro se

status confers no special benefit upon Appellant. Commonwealth v.

Lyons, 833 A.2d 245, 252 (Pa.Super.2003). Where an appellant's disregard

of the Rules of Appellate Procedure precludes meaningful judicial review, we

are constrained to dismiss the appeal.

Appeal dismissed.

Judgment Entered.

Joseph D. Seletyn, Eso

Prothonotary

Date: <u>5/12/2014</u>