## NON-PRECEDENTIAL DECISION - SEE SUPERIOR COURT I.O.P. 65.37

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA, : IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF : PENNSYLVANIA

Appellee :

S.W., :

٧.

Appellant : No. 2922 EDA 2013

Appeal from the PCRA Order Entered August 9, 2013 in the Court of Common Pleas of Delaware County Criminal Division at No(s): CP-23-CR-0002904-2003

BEFORE: BENDER, P.J.E., DONOHUE, and STRASSBURGER,\* JJ.

## CONCURRING STATEMENT BY STRASSBURGER, J.: FILED DECEMBER 08, 2014

I join the Majority Memorandum. I do so only because I am bound by this Court's opinion in *Commonwealth v. Henkel*, 90 A.3d 16 (Pa. Super. 2014) (*en banc*), holding that claims of ineffectiveness of PCRA counsel cannot be raised for the first time on appeal. Were I writing on a clean slate, I would adopt the position of P.J.E. Bender in his dissent in *Henkel*.

P.J.E. Bender joins this concurring statement.

Judge Donohue joins this concurring statement.

<sup>\*</sup> Retired Senior Judge assigned to the Superior Court.