

**NON-PRECEDENTIAL DECISION - SEE SUPERIOR COURT I.O.P. 65.37**

GARY M. JACKSON

v.

DANIELLE DREW

and

GARY M. JACKSON

v.

BRIAN MEANOR

APPEAL OF: DANIELLE DREW

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF  
PENNSYLVANIA

No. 922 WDA 2013

Appeal from the Order Dated April 24, 2013  
In the Court of Common Pleas of Allegheny County  
Civil Division at No.: GD-12008737

BEFORE: BOWES, J., WECHT, J., and STABILE, J.

DISSENTING STATEMENT BY WECHT, J.:

**FILED July 1, 2014**

The learned Majority quashes this appeal because it deems the trial court's consolidation order a non-collateral order and hence not immediately appealable under Rule 313. Unlike the Majority, I believe that the consolidation order in this case does implicate rights deeply rooted in public policy and that these rights could well be irreparably lost if review is postponed until final judgment. I note as well that neither the trial court nor Appellee has challenged this appeal on the basis that it is not properly before us pursuant to Rule 313. Having said this, I nonetheless agree with the trial

court's consolidation order in this particular case. For the reasons stated in the trial court's opinion, I would affirm the trial court's consolidation order.