NON-PRECEDENTIAL DECISION - SEE SUPERIOR COURT I.O.P. 65.37

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA : IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF : PENNSYLVANIA

Appellant :

o...a.r.c

:

v. :

:

DHRUV PATEL : No. 682 MDA 2017

Appeal from the Order Entered March 21, 2017 In the Court of Common Pleas of Berks County Criminal Division at No(s): CP-06-CR-0001435-2016

BEFORE: PANELLA, J., SHOGAN, J., and FITZGERALD*, J.

JUDGMENT ORDER BY PANELLA, J. FILED DECEMBER 08, 2017

The Commonwealth of Pennsylvania appeals from an order suppressing the results of a blood test administered after Appellee, Dhruv Patel, was arrested for suspicion of driving under the influence. The Commonwealth argues *Birchfield v. North Dakota*, 136 S.Ct. 2160 (2016), does not invalidate Pennsylvania's doctrine of implied consent when the defendant is charged with driving under the influence of narcotics.¹ However, the Commonwealth concedes that *Commonwealth v. Ennels*,

¹ The factual record before the suppression court consisted entirely of a six sentence stipulation of facts and the DL-26 (Chemical Testing Warnings) form signed by Patel. Neither of these documents reference the narcotics which were revealed in the blood test.

^{*} Former Justice specially assigned to the Superior Court.

J-S64007-17

167 A.3d 716 (Pa. Super. 2017), controls the outcome in this case. See

Appellant's Brief, at 4. The Commonwealth indicates that it desired to

preserve the issue while its petition for reargument en banc in **Ennels** was

pending.

That petition was denied, and *Ennels* thus stands as controlling

precedent in this case. See Sorber v. American Motorists Ins. Co., 680

A.2d 881, 882 (Pa. Super. 1996). As the Commonwealth recognizes, *Ennels*

rejected the argument it raises in this appeal. We therefore conclude that no

relief is due.

Order affirmed.

Judgment Entered.

Joseph D. Seletyn, Es**¢**

Prothonotary

Date: <u>10/10/2017</u>

- 2 -