NON-PRECEDENTIAL DECISION – SEE SUPERIOR COURT I.O.P 65.37

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA,	:	IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF PENNSYLVANIA
Appellee		
ν.	:	
BRIAN KURT BANTUM,	:	
Appellant	:	No. 1476 WDA 2017

Appeal from the Judgment of Sentence August 31, 2017 in the Court of Common Pleas of Blair County Criminal Division at No(s): CP-07-CR-0002204-2016

BEFORE: SHOGAN, J., KUNSELMAN, J. and STRASSBURGER, J.*

MEMORANDUM BY STRASSBURGER, J.: FILED AUGUST 05, 2019

Brian Kurt Bantum (Appellant) appeals from the August 31, 2017 judgment of sentence imposed after a jury convicted him of person not to possess a firearm, theft by unlawful taking, receiving stolen property, and disorderly conduct.

On January 10, 2019, we remanded this case for (1) the trial court to rule on Appellant's weight-of-the-evidence claim under the correct standard of review; (2) new counsel to be appointed for Appellant; and (3) the inclusion of necessary materials in the certified record. *See Commonwealth v. Bantum*, ____ A.3d ____, 2019 WL 156558 (Pa. Super. 2019 (unpublished memorandum).

*Retired Senior Judge assigned to the Superior Court.

On January 15, 2019, the trial court issued a supplemental opinion, appointed new counsel, Attorney Edward Zang,¹ and ensured the inclusion of necessary materials in the certified record. Attorney Zang has filed a brief pursuant to **Anders v. California**, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), and

Commonwealth v. Santiago, 978 A.2d 349 (Pa. 2009).

Direct appeal counsel seeking to withdraw under **Anders** must file a petition averring that, after a conscientious examination of the record, counsel finds the appeal to be wholly frivolous. Counsel must also file an **Anders** brief setting forth issues that might arguably support the appeal along with any other issues necessary for the effective appellate presentation thereof....

Anders counsel must also provide a copy of the **Anders** petition and brief to the appellant, advising the appellant of the right to retain new counsel, proceed *pro se* or raise any additional points worthy of this Court's attention.

If counsel does not fulfill the aforesaid technical requirements of **Anders**, this Court will deny the petition to withdraw and remand the case with appropriate instructions (*e.g.*, directing counsel either to comply with **Anders** or file an advocate's brief on Appellant's behalf).

Commonwealth v. Wrecks, 931 A.2d 717, 720-21 (Pa. Super. 2007)

(citations omitted).

Upon review, we conclude that counsel has not complied substantially with the technical requirements set forth above because counsel has not filed a petition to withdraw with this Court, provided a copy of said petition to Appellant, or advised Appellant "of the right to retain new counsel,

¹ Accordingly, this Court granted Attorney Mark Zearfaus's motion to withdraw as counsel. *Per Curiam* Order, 3/7/2019.

proceed *pro se* or raise any additional points worthy of this Court's attention." *Id.* at 721.

In light of the foregoing, we direct Attorney Zang to file either an advocate's brief or a compliant **Anders** brief **and** petition to withdraw, along with an accompanying letter advising Appellant of his rights, within 30 days of this decision.

Panel jurisdiction retained.