## **NON-PRECEDENTIAL DECISION - SEE SUPERIOR COURT I.O.P. 65.37**

ROBIN B. TRAVALINE,

Appellee

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF PENNSYLVANIA

v.

SCOTT J. TRAVALINE,

Appellant

No. 2801 EDA 2012

Appeal from the Order entered August 10, 2012, in the Court of Common Pleas of Montgomery County, Family Division, at No(s): 2005-25760

BEFORE: GANTMAN, ALLEN, and PLATT\*, JJ.

JUDGMENT ORDER BY PER CURIAM:

FILED MAY 20, 2013

In this divorce action, Scott J. Travaline ("Appellant"), appeals *pro se* from the trial court's order which, *inter alia*, equitably distributed the parties' marital estate fifty percent (50%) to Appellant and fifty percent (50%) to Robin B. Travaline ("Appellee"), and denied both parties' requests for counsel fees.

Appellant filed this timely appeal. On December 31, 2012, Appellee filed a motion to dismiss the appeal on the basis that Appellant's brief is defective. This Court on January 11, 2013 deferred the motion to the merits panel.

Upon review, we agree with Appellee that Appellant's brief is defective in myriad respects, and fails to comport with Pa.R.A.P. 2101 *et seq*. Among other deficiencies, Appellant's brief lacks a statement of jurisdiction,

\*Retired Senior Judge assigned to the Superior Court.

statement of the scope and standard of review, statement of questions involved, statement of the case, summary of the argument, or proper legal argument. *See* Pa.R.A.P. 2111. Moreover, the body of Appellant's brief fails to present a coherent issue or argument, and lacks citation to pertinent case law. *See* Pa.R.A.P. 2119(b). Given the foregoing, we grant Appellee's motion to dismiss the appeal.

Appeal dismissed. The case shall be stricken from the argument list. Judgment Entered.

hamblett

Prothonotary Date: <u>5/20/2013</u>