

NON-PRECEDENTIAL DECISION - SEE SUPERIOR COURT I.O.P. 65.37

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA,

Appellee

v.

JOSEPH PHILLIPS,

Appellant

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF
PENNSYLVANIA

No. 854 WDA 2012

Appeal from the Judgment of Sentence of May 9, 2012
in the Court of Common Pleas of Allegheny County,
Criminal Division, at No. CP-02-SA-0000361-2012

BEFORE: SHOGAN, OTT and COLVILLE*, JJ.

MEMORANDUM BY COLVILLE, J.:

Filed: February 19, 2013

This is a *pro se* appeal from the judgment of sentence imposed following Appellant's convictions of Driving while operating privilege is suspended or revoked and Obedience to traffic-control devices. Appellant specifies no issue for our review.

Pennsylvania Rule of Appellate Procedure 2101 dictates that appellate briefs must conform, in all material respects, to the requirements of the Rules of Appellate Procedure. Pa.R.A.P. 2101. This rule also states that "if the defects are in the brief or reproduced record of the appellant and are substantial, the appeal or other matter may be quashed or dismissed." *Id.* Appellant's *pro se* appellate brief does not conform to the Rules of Appellate

* Retired Senior Judge assigned to the Superior Court.

Procedure in any meaningful way. The defects in Appellant's brief are substantial; consequently, we exercise our discretion to dismiss the appeal.

Appeal dismissed.

Judge Shogan files a Dissenting Memorandum.