## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF PENNSYLVANIA EASTERN DISTRICT

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA,	: No. 309 EAL 2016
Respondent v.	<ul> <li>Petition for Allowance of Appeal from</li> <li>the Order of the Superior Court</li> </ul>
ANGEL ROMERO, Petitioner	

## <u>ORDER</u>

## PER CURIAM

**AND NOW**, this 22<sup>nd</sup> day of November, 2016, the Petition for Allowance of Appeal is **GRANTED**. The issues, rephrased for clarity, are:

- (1) In view of <u>Payton v. New York</u>, 445 U.S. 573 (1980), and <u>Steagald v.</u> <u>United States</u>, 451 U.S. 204 (1981), did the Superior Court err in concluding that an arrest warrant for Earnest Moreno authorized entry into the residence of Angel Romero and Wendy Castro for the purpose of executing the arrest warrant?
- (2) Did the Superior Court apply an erroneous standard of review regarding the suppression court's finding of fact that the authorities did not have express permission to enter the residence of Angel Romero and Wendy Castro?