## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF PENNSYLVANIA MIDDLE DISTRICT

PENNSYLVANIA ENVIRONMENTAL : No. 4 MAP 2018

DEFENSE FOUNDATION,

.

Appellant : Notice of Appeal from the Orders of the

: Commonwealth Court dated January 8,

v. : 2018 at Docket No. 228 MD 2012.

.

COMMONWEALTH OF

PENNSYLVANIA, AND GOVERNOR OF: PENNSYLVANIA, TOM WOLF, IN HIS: OFFICIAL CAPACITY AS GOVERNOR, :

:

Appellees

## **ORDER**

## PER CURIAM

**AND NOW**, this 6<sup>th</sup> day of April, 2018, the notice of appeal is quashed, as the basis for jurisdiction identified by Appellant is infirm.

Although the litigation in the Commonwealth Court has not yet concluded, Appellant contends that the orders that are the subject of its notice of appeal are nonetheless final orders for purposes of 42 Pa.C.S. §723 (appeals from final orders in matters originally commenced in the Commonwealth Court), because those orders result in denials of requests for declaratory relief. See Jurisdictional Statement at 3.

In Nationwide Mutual Insurance Company v. Wickett, 763 A.2d 813 (Pa. 2000), this Court determined that an order relative to a request for declaratory relief is final and appealable if it "either affirmatively or negatively declares the rights and duties of the

parties." *Id.* at 818. Here, however, the orders in question do not affirmatively or negatively declare rights and duties. Rather, in those orders, the Commonwealth Court determined that certain of Appellant's requests for relief exceed the scope of a previous remand order issued by this Court. *See* Memorandum Opinions and Orders dated Jan. 8, 2018, in *Pa. Envtl. Defense Found. v. Commonwealth*, No. 228 M.D. 2012 (Pa. Cmwlth.) (*per curiam*). There is no indication, in the Commonwealth Court's orders or in Appellant's jurisdictional statement, of a determination on the merits or that relief on Appellant's claims is otherwise foreclosed.