

**IN THE SUPREME COURT OF PENNSYLVANIA  
EASTERN DISTRICT**

NOLAN FINNERTY,	:	No. 261 EAL 2019
	:	
Petitioner	:	
	:	
v.	:	Petition for Allowance of Appeal
	:	from the Order of the
	:	Commonwealth Court
	:	
	:	
PENNSYLVANIA DEPARTMENT OF	:	
COMMUNITY AND ECONOMIC	:	
DEVELOPMENT,	:	
	:	
Respondent	:	

**ORDER**

**PER CURIAM**

**AND NOW**, this 31st day of December, 2019, the Petition for Allowance of Appeal is **GRANTED**. The issues, as stated by petitioner, are:

- (1) Whether the Commonwealth Court erred in extending the internal, pre-decisional deliberative exception under Section 708(b)(10)(i) of the Pennsylvania Right to Know Law to public information shared with agency subcontractors having no direct or proper contractual relationship with the agency?
- (2) Whether the Commonwealth Court erred in mooting Petitioner’s objections to the assertion of the attorney client privilege and attorney work product as a result of an unsolicited eleventh-hour production, without consideration for the issue’s repetition and public importance as it relates to the production of public information under Pennsylvania’s Right-to-Know Law?

These cases are consolidated for purposes of argument and disposition.