

**IN THE SUPREME COURT OF PENNSYLVANIA
EASTERN DISTRICT**

JOY M. FOX

: No. 324 EAL 2019

v.

: Petition for Allowance of Appeal
: from the Order of the Superior Court

STACEY SMITH, DREW J.
BAUM,GINAMARIE ELLIS, THERESA
AGOSTINELLI, STEVE COCOZZA, ELLEN
LUONGO, STEVEN LUONGO, MARYANN
D. FURLONG, RICHARD B. KERNS,
WILLIAM PASCALE, REPUBLICAN
COMMITTEE OF CHESTER HEIGHTS AND
COMMITTEE FOR THE FUTURE OF
CHESTER HEIGHTS,

PETITION OF: THERESA AGOSTINELLI
AND DREW BAUM

JOY M. FOX

: No. 325 EAL 2019

v.

: Petition for Allowance of Appeal
: from the Order of the Superior Court

STACEY SMITH, DREW J. BAUM,
GINAMARIE ELLIS, THERESA
AGOSTINELLI, STEVE COCOZZA, ELLEN
LUONGO, STEVEN LUONGO, MARYANN
D. FURLONG, RICHARD B. KERNS,
WILLIAM PASCALE, REPUBLICAN
COMMITTEE OF CHESTER HEIGHTS AND
COMMITTEE FOR THE FUTURE OF
CHESTER HEIGHTS,

PETITION OF: STACEY SMITH

JOY M. FOX

: No. 326 EAL 2019

v.

: Petition for Allowance of Appeal
: from the Order of the Superior Court

STACEY SMITH, DREW J. BAUM,
GINAMARIE ELLIS, THERESA
AGOSTINELLI, STEVE COCOZZA, ELLEN
LUONGO, STEVEN LUONGO, MARYANN
D. FURLONG, RICHARD B. KERNS,
WILLIAM PASCALE, REPUBLICAN
COMMITTEE OF CHESTER HEIGHTS AND
COMMITTEE FOR THE FUTURE OF
CHESTER HEIGHTS,

PETITION OF: WILLIAM PASCALE AND
DREW BAUM

JOY M. FOX

: No. 327 EAL 2019

v.

: Petition for Allowance of Appeal
: from the Order of the Superior Court

STACEY SMITH, DREW J. BAUM,
GINAMARIE ELLIS, THERESA
AGOSTINELLI, STEVE COCOZZA, ELLEN
LUONGO, STEVEN LUONGO, MARYANN
D. FURLONG, RICHARD B. KERNS,
WILLIAM PASCALE, REPUBLICAN
COMMITTEE OF CHESTER HEIGHTS AND
COMMITTEE FOR THE FUTURE OF
CHESTER HEIGHTS,

PETITION OF: ELLEN LUONGO, STEVEN
LUONGO, REPUBLICAN COMMITTEE OF
CHESTER HEIGHTS, AND COMMITTEE
FOR THE FUTURE OF CHESTER
HEIGHTS (COLLECTIVELY, "MOVING

Defendants

ORDER

PER CURIAM

AND NOW, this 24th day of December, 2019, the Petition for Allowance of Appeal is **GRANTED**. The issue, as stated by Petitioner is:

Whether the Superior Court panel, in a matter of first impression, improperly developed a rule for determining proper venue in an internet-based defamation action that deems venue proper anywhere the purported defamation is accessed through the internet despite the lack of any other connection to the venue, a rule which abandons all of the protections accorded by the limiting characteristics of the venue rules and opens the door to unchecked forum shopping, calling for this Court to provide “decision and statutory guidance” as requested by the Opinion of the concurring panel member of the Pennsylvania Superior Court?