## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF PENNSYLVANIA WESTERN DISTRICT

IN RE: ADOPTION OF K.M.G.	: No. 362 WAL 2019
PETITION OF: T.L.G., MOTHER	<ul> <li>Petition for Allowance of Appeal</li> <li>from the Order of the Superior Court</li> </ul>
IN RE: ADOPTION OF: A.M.G.	: No. 363 WAL 2019
PETITION OF: T.L.G., MOTHER	<ul> <li>Petition for Allowance of Appeal</li> <li>from the Order of the Superior Court</li> </ul>
IN RE: ADOPTION OF S.A.G.	: No. 364 WAL 2019
PETITION OF: T.L.G., MOTHER	<ul> <li>Petition for Allowance of Appeal</li> <li>from the Order of the Superior Court</li> </ul>
IN RE: ADOPTION OF J.C.C.	: No. 365 WAL 2019
PETITION OF: T.L.G., MOTHER	<ul> <li>Petition for Allowance of Appeal</li> <li>from the Order of the Superior Court</li> </ul>

## <u>ORDER</u>

## PER CURIAM

**AND NOW**, this 9<sup>th</sup> day of December, 2019, the Petition for Allowance of Appeal is **GRANTED**, **LIMITED TO** the issue set forth below. Allocatur is **DENIED** as to all remaining issues. The issue, as stated by petitioner, is:

(1) Did the Superior Court commit an error of law by concluding that it has no authority to review, *sua sponte*, whether a child's legal interest was represented by counsel during an involuntary termination of parental rights hearing, as required by Section 2313(a) of the Adoption Act and this Court's decision in *In re Adoption of L.B.M.*?