

**IN THE SUPREME COURT OF PENNSYLVANIA
WESTERN DISTRICT**

| | | |
|-------------------------------|---|----------------------------------|
| ROBERT ARLET, | : | No. 262 WAL 2020 |
| | : | |
| Petitioner | : | |
| | : | |
| v. | : | Petition for Allowance of Appeal |
| | : | from the Order of the |
| | : | Commonwealth Court |
| | : | |
| | : | |
| WORKERS' COMPENSATION APPEAL | : | |
| BOARD (COMMONWEALTH OF | : | |
| PENNSYLVANIA, DEPARTMENT OF | : | |
| LABOR AND INDUSTRY, BUREAU OF | : | |
| WORKERS' COMPENSATION), | : | |
| | : | |
| Respondents | : | |

ORDER

PER CURIAM

AND NOW, this 26th day of May, 2021, the Petition for Allowance of Appeal is **GRANTED**. The issue, as stated by Petitioner, is:

Did the Commonwealth Court of Pennsylvania err as a matter of law in its July 29, 2020 Opinion and Order when it affirmed the W[orkers' Compensation Appeal Board]'s finding that Acadia [Insurance Company] did not have a right of subrogation for benefits paid to [Petitioner] under a Jones Act¹ policy of insurance, despite the Commonwealth Court's initial holding in this case that [Petitioner] was not a seaman and/or crew member entitled to the benefits which Acadia should not have paid him?

¹ Section 33 of the Merchant Marine Act of 1920, 46 U.S.C. § 688.