

**IN THE SUPREME COURT OF PENNSYLVANIA
MIDDLE DISTRICT**

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA,	:	No. 98 MAL 2021
	:	
Respondent	:	
	:	Petition for Allowance of Appeal
	:	from the Order of the Superior Court
v.	:	
	:	
JESSE SMOOT,	:	
	:	
Petitioner	:	
	:	
COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA,	:	No. 99 MAL 2021
	:	
Respondent	:	
	:	Petition for Allowance of Appeal
	:	from the Order of the Superior Court
v.	:	
	:	
JESSE SMOOT,	:	
	:	
Petitioner	:	
	:	
COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA,	:	No. 100 MAL 2021
	:	
Respondent	:	
	:	Petition for Allowance of Appeal
	:	from the Order of the Superior Court
v.	:	
	:	
JESSE SMOOT,	:	
	:	
Petitioner	:	
	:	
COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA,	:	No. 101 MAL 2021
	:	
Respondent	:	
	:	Petition for Allowance of Appeal
	:	from the Order of the Superior Court
v.	:	

v. : Petition for Allowance of Appeal
: from the Order of the Superior Court
:
:
:
JESSE SMOOT, :
:
:
Petitioner :
:

ORDER

PER CURIAM

AND NOW, this 8th day of March, 2022, the Petition for Allowance of Appeal is **GRANTED**. The issue, as stated by petitioner, is:

In this case, where Petitioner was without counsel for three of the four month pre-trial period in the Court of Common Pleas, did the Superior Court misapply *United States v. Cronin*, 466 U.S. 648 (1984), when it affirmed the denial of PCRA relief because Petitioner did not identify [sic] a “critical stage” during which Petitioner was without counsel?