IN THE SUPREME COURT OF PENNSYLVANIA EASTERN DISTRICT

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA, : No. 258 EAL 2012

Petitioner

: Petition for Allowance of Appeal from the

: Order of the Superior Court

٧.

:

TYDE PASTURE,

:

Respondent

<u>ORDER</u>

PER CURIAM

AND NOW, this 27th day of September, 2013, the Petition for Allowance of Appeal is **GRANTED**. The issue, rephrased for clarity, is:

Following remand of this matter for reconsideration by the Superior Court in light of <u>Commonwealth v. Perry</u>, 32 A.3d 232 (Pa. 2011), did the Superior Court, in purporting to distinguish <u>Perry</u>, disregard, for a second time, this Court's directives in <u>Perry</u> and <u>Commonwealth v. Walls</u>, 926 A.2d 957 (Pa. 2007)?