## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF PENNSYLVANIA WESTERN DISTRICT

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA,	: No. 600 WAL 2002
Respondent	: Petition for Allowance of Appeal from the : order of the Superior Court
٧.	
SHERMAN MACK,	
Petitioner	

## <u>ORDER</u>

## **PER CURIAM**

**AND NOW**, this 5<sup>th</sup> day of June, 2003, the Petition for Allowance of Appeal is hereby **GRANTED** in part, but **LIMITED** to the issue of whether trial counsel was ineffective by failing to file a motion to suppress the evidence found in the second search of Michelle Wilkinson's vehicle and in failing to object to that evidence being introduced into evidence. <u>See</u> "Statement Pursuant to Rule 1925(b) of Appellate Procedure," p. 3, para. 2a. The matter is **REMANDED** to the Superior Court for consideration and determination of this issue. In all other respects, the Petition for Allowance of Appeal is **DENIED**.