

[J-135-2002]
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF PENNSYLVANIA
WESTERN DISTRICT

LOUIS J. PORRECO,	:	9 WAP 2001
	:	
Appellant	:	Appeal from the Order of the Superior
	:	Court entered June 6, 2000 at No.
v.	:	1502WDA1999 affirming the Order of the
	:	Court of Common Pleas of Erie County
SUSAN J. PORRECO,	:	entered March 5, 1999 at No. 13920-1994
	:	
Appellee	:	ARGUED: September 11, 2001
	:	
	:	RESUBMITTED: JUNE 6, 2002

CONCURRING OPINION

MR. JUSTICE CAPPY

DECIDED: NOVEMBER 27, 2002

I concur only in the result reached by the majority opinion. I write in this case not because the legal issues presented by the parties require further elucidation, to the contrary my learned colleagues have presented ample discourse on those topics. I write because I too am genuinely concerned with the point raised by the learned Chief Justice in his concurring opinion.

It is axiomatic and I firmly believe that every jurist has the right to express him or herself in a manner that the jurist deems appropriate. My concern, however, and the point on which I concur completely with the Chief Justice, lies with the perception that litigants and the public at large might form when an opinion of this Court is reduced to rhyme. I, too, feel strongly that no case with which this court deals is any more or less important than any other; I will endeavor to prevent a contrary impression whenever possible.

Accordingly, although respectful of the wishes of my esteemed colleague in the dissent, I am constrained to join the concurrence offered by the Chief Justice.