## [J-69-2008] IN THE SUPREME COURT OF PENNSYLVANIA EASTERN DISTRICT

and
ana

## **CONCURRING OPINION**

## MR. JUSTICE SAYLOR

I agree with the majority that <u>HSP Gaming L.P. v. City Council</u>, \_\_\_\_ Pa. \_\_\_\_, 939 A.2d 273 (2007), is controlling and, for that reason, Petitioners should receive treatment similar to that afforded to HSP. Absent such controlling precedent, I would favor the appointment of a special master to preside over the development of an evidentiary record and to offer recommended findings and conclusions, as I believe that such a record is conventionally necessary to address core, disputed factual matters, such as those regarding City Council's intentions and conduct. <u>Accord HSP</u>, \_\_\_\_ Pa. at \_\_\_\_, 939 A.2d at 288 (Saylor, J., dissenting).