## [J-99-1999] THE SUPREME COURT OF PENNSYLVANIA EASTERN DISTRICT

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA,: No. 120 Capital Appeal Docket

Appellee, : Appeal from the Judgment of Sentence

entered September 6, 1995 in the Court ofCommon Pleas of Lackawanna County,

v. : Criminal Division at No. 92-CR-397

:

RICHARD YOUNG, : SUBMITTED: May 19, 1999

Appellant.

**CONCURRING OPINION** 

MR. JUSTICE CASTILLE DECIDED: March 24, 2000

I join the majority because the statements in this case are exculpatory in that the speaker is exculpating himself from the crime and shifting the blame to others. It would be a far different story if the statements were <u>inculpatory</u> and inculpated others as coconspirators. Such statements should be admissible and traditionally have been if redacted. <u>See e.g., Commonwealth v. Chester, 526 Pa. 578, 587 A.2d 1367 (1991), Commonwealth v. Johnson, 474 Pa. 410, 378 A.2d 859 (1977). Sharing the blame, rather than shifting the blame, is indicia of reliability because the speaker inculpates himself and does not <u>benefit</u> by naming others. Therefore, I join the Majority Opinion insofar as the decision is limited to statements in which the declarant is shifting the blame because such statements are not against the declarant's own penal interest.</u>