[J-121-1998] IN THE SUPREME COURT OF PENNSYLVANIA EASTERN DISTRICT

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA, : No. 177 Capital Appeal Docket

Appellee

:

:

DANIEL JACOBS,

٧.

.

Appellant : SUBMITTED: May 11, 1998

CONCURRING OPINION

MADAME JUSTICE NEWMAN DECIDED: March 26, 1999

I join the Majority, and write separately only to address footnote 8 of the Opinion.

I reiterate the position I expressed in <u>Commonwealth v. Chandler</u>, __ Pa. __, 721 A.2d

1040 (1998), regarding the "life means life" issue. As I stated in Chandler:

[I]n cases where <u>Simmons</u> would require a "life means life" instruction, I agree with Chief Justice Flaherty that the court should instruct the jury that the defendant's sentence could be commuted. Where future dangerousness is at issue, the impossibility of parole and the possibility of commutation are equally relevant, so the court should inform the jury of both contingencies.

In this case, I agree with the majority that future dangerousness was not at issue.

Accordingly, I agree that the trial court properly declined to give a "life means life" instruction.