## THIS OPINION HAS NO PRECEDENTIAL VALUE. IT SHOULD NOT BE CITED OR RELIED ON AS PRECEDENT IN ANY PROCEEDING EXCEPT AS PROVIDED BY RULE 268(d)(2), SCACR.

## THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA In The Court of Appeals

The State, Respondent,
V.
Samuel Hayworth Robinson, Appellant.
Appellate Case No. 2014-001555
Appeal From Charleston County Benjamin H. Culbertson, Circuit Court Judge  Unpublished Opinion No. 2015-UP-545
Submitted September 1, 2015 – Filed December 2, 2015
AFFIRMED
Appellate Defender Lara Mary Caudy, of Columbia, for Appellant.

**PER CURIAM:** Affirmed pursuant to Rule 220(b), SCACR, and the following authorities: *State v. Dunbar*, 356 S.C. 138, 142, 587 S.E.2d 691, 693 (2003) ("In

Attorney General Alan McCrory Wilson and Assistant Attorney General Mark Reynolds Farthing, both of Columbia; and Solicitor Scarlett Anne Wilson, of

Charleston, for Respondent.

order for an issue to be preserved for appellate review, it must have been raised to and ruled upon by the trial [court]."); *State v. Ravenell*, 387 S.C. 449, 456, 692 S.E.2d 554, 558 (Ct. App. 2010) ("In order to claim the protection afforded by the rule of law that a criminal defendant may be tried in his absence only upon a trial court's finding that the defendant has received the requisite notice of his right to be present and advisement that the trial would proceed in his absence if he failed to attend, a defendant or his attorney must object at the first opportunity to do so, and failure to so object constitutes waiver of the issue on appeal.").

## **AFFIRMED.**<sup>1</sup>

FEW, C.J., and KONDUROS and LOCKEMY, JJ., concur.

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> We decide this case without oral argument pursuant to Rule 215, SCACR.