

**THIS OPINION HAS NO PRECEDENTIAL VALUE. IT SHOULD NOT BE  
CITED OR RELIED ON AS PRECEDENT IN ANY PROCEEDING  
EXCEPT AS PROVIDED BY RULE 268(d)(2), SCACR.**

**THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
In The Court of Appeals**

Misty A. Morris, Claimant,

v.

BB&T Corporation, d/b/a BB&T Bank, Employer, and  
Hartford Accident & Idemnity Co., Carrier,

IN RE: Attorney's Fee Petition of David Proffitt,  
Appellant,

v.

South Carolina Workers' Compensation Commission,  
Respondent.

Appellate Case No. 2018-000532

---

Appeal From The Workers' Compensation Commission

---

Unpublished Opinion No. 2020-UP-235  
Submitted June 1, 2020 – Filed August 12, 2020

---

**AFFIRMED**

---

Robert David Proffitt, of Proffitt & Cox, LLP, of  
Columbia, for Appellant.

James Keith Roberts, of the South Carolina Workers'  
Compensation Commission, of Columbia, for  
Respondent.

---

**PER CURIAM:** David Proffitt appeals the Workers' Compensation Commission's (the Commission's) denial of his motion to reinstate his appeal. On appeal, he argues (1) the Commission's denial of his motion constitutes an abuse of discretion and was the product of an unlawful procedure, and (2) the Commission's refusal to reinstate his appeal violates his constitutional and statutory rights to due process. We affirm pursuant to Rule 220(b)(2), SCACR, and the following authorities:

1. We hold the Commission did not abuse its discretion in determining Proffitt failed to establish good cause for the reinstatement of his appeal. The evidence in the record shows Proffitt's only justification for reinstating his appeal is that he made an honest mistake in calendaring the deadline for his appellate brief—which the Commission provided in the Form 31. We find this is insufficient to establish good cause for reinstatement. *See Matute v. Palmetto Health Baptist*, 391 S.C. 291, 294, 705 S.E.2d 472, 474 (Ct. App. 2011) ("When reviewing an appeal from the Workers' Compensation Commission, this court may not weigh the evidence or substitute its judgment for that of the appellate panel as to the weight of evidence on questions of fact."); S.C. Code Ann. Regs. 67-704(D) (2012) ("The appellant [in cases in which the Commission has issued a Form 31] must file his or her brief according to . . . R.67-705 on or before the date stated on the Form 31."); S.C. Code Ann. Regs. 67-705(H)(3) (2012) ("If the appellant fails to file a brief within ten days of receipt of the Form 31, the [Commission] may remove the case from the review hearing docket by issuing an administrative order dismissing the appeal."); S.C. Code Ann. Regs. 67-705(H)(4) (2012) ("An appeal administratively dismissed by the [Commission] *may* be reinstated for a good cause upon motion to the Commission." (emphasis added)).

2. We hold Proffitt never raised the issue of whether the Commission's denial of his motion to reinstate his appeal violated his rights to procedural and substantive due process to the tribunal below. Consequently, it is not preserved for appellate review. *See Wilder Corp. v. Wilke*, 330 S.C. 71, 76, 497 S.E.2d 731, 733 (1998) ("It is axiomatic that an issue cannot be raised for the first time on appeal . . ."); *Transp. Ins. Co. and Flagstar Corp. v. S.C. Second Injury Fund*, 389 S.C. 422, 431, 699 S.E.2d 687, 691 (2010) ("Issues and arguments are preserved for appellate review only when they are raised to and ruled on by the lower court."

(quoting *Elam v. S.C. Dep't of Transp.*, 361 S.C. 9, 23, 602 S.E.2d 772, 779-80 (2004))).

**AFFIRMED.**<sup>1</sup>

**HUFF, THOMAS, and MCDONALD, JJ., concur.**

---

<sup>1</sup> We decide this case without oral argument pursuant to Rule 215, SCACR.