

**THIS OPINION HAS NO PRECEDENTIAL VALUE. IT SHOULD NOT BE
CITED OR RELIED ON AS PRECEDENT IN ANY PROCEEDING
EXCEPT AS PROVIDED BY RULE 268(d)(2), SCACR.**

**THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
In The Court of Appeals**

South Carolina Department of Social Services,
Respondent,

v.

Roseann Humenanski, Christopher O'Neal, and John
Doe, Defendants,

Of whom Roseann Humenanski is the Appellant.

In the interest of a minor under the age of eighteen.

Appellate Case No. 2020-000672

Appeal From Greenville County
Rochelle Y. Conits, Family Court Judge

Unpublished Opinion No. 2020-UP-261
Submitted September 1, 2020 – Filed September 2, 2020

AFFIRMED

Vernon Bailey Atkins, III, of Atkins Law Firm, P.A., of
Greenville, for Appellant.

Amanda B. Stiles, of the South Carolina Department of
Social Services, of Greenville, for Respondent.

Robert A. Clark, of Greenville, for the Guardian ad Litem.

PER CURIAM: Roseann Humenanski appeals the family court's final order terminating her parental rights to her minor child. *See* S.C. Code Ann. § 63-7-2570 (Supp. 2019). Upon a thorough review of the record and the family court's findings of fact and conclusions of law pursuant to *Ex parte Cauthen*, 291 S.C. 465, 354 S.E.2d 381 (1987), we find no meritorious issues warrant briefing. Accordingly, we affirm the family court's ruling and relieve Humenanski's counsel.

AFFIRMED.¹

THOMAS, HILL, and HEWITT, JJ., concur.

¹ We decide this case without oral argument pursuant to Rule 215, SCACR.