

**RTHIS OPINION HAS NO PRECEDENTIAL VALUE. IT SHOULD NOT
BE CITED OR RELIED ON AS PRECEDENT IN ANY PROCEEDING
EXCEPT AS PROVIDED BY RULE 268(d)(2), SCACR.**

**THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
In The Court of Appeals**

South Carolina Department of Social Services,
Respondent,

v.

Maranda Wright, Daniel King, and Steven Sherman,
Defendants,

Of whom Maranda Wright is the Appellant

and

Daniel King and Steven Sherman are Respondents.

In the interest of minors under the age of eighteen.

Appellate Case No. 2020-000094

Appeal From Oconee County
Edgar H. Long, Jr., Family Court Judge

Unpublished Opinion No. 2020-UP-295
Submitted October 23, 2020 – Filed October 28, 2020

AFFIRMED

Robert Mills Ariail, Jr., of The Law Office of R. Mills
Ariail, Jr. of Greenville, for Appellant.

Andrew Troy Potter, of Anderson, for Respondent South Carolina Department of Social Services.

Mary Elizabeth Parrilla, of The Parrilla Law Firm, LLC of Anderson, for Respondent Daniel King.

Thomas Harper Collins, of Harper Collins LLC, of Anderson, and Kimberly Yancey Brooks, of Kimberly Y. Brooks, Attorney at Law, of Greenville, both for Respondent Steven Sherman.

Kimberly Welchel Pease, of Kimberly R. Welchel, Attorney at Law, of Seneca, for the Guardian ad Litem.

PER CURIAM: Maranda Wright appeals the family court's final order terminating her parental rights to her minor children. *See* S.C. Code Ann. § 63-7-2570 (Supp. 2019). Upon a thorough review of the record and the family court's findings of fact and conclusions of law pursuant to *Ex parte Cauthen*, 291 S.C. 465, 354 S.E.2d 381 (1987), we find no meritorious issues warrant briefing. Accordingly, we affirm the family court's ruling and relieve Wright's counsel.

AFFIRMED.¹

THOMAS, HILL, and HEWITT, JJ., concur.

¹ We decide this case without oral argument pursuant to Rule 215, SCACR.