

**THIS OPINION HAS NO PRECEDENTIAL VALUE. IT SHOULD NOT BE  
CITED OR RELIED ON AS PRECEDENT IN ANY PROCEEDING  
EXCEPT AS PROVIDED BY RULE 268(d)(2), SCACR.**

**THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
In The Court of Appeals**

South Carolina Department of Social Services,  
Respondent,

v.

Sara Amanda Anglin a/k/a Sara Amanda Bailey and  
Clayton Thomas Anglin, Defendants,

Of whom Sara Amanda Anglin a/k/a Sara Amanda  
Bailey is the Appellant.

In the interest of minors under the age of eighteen.

Appellate Case No. 2021-001507

---

Appeal From Greenville County  
Rochelle Y. Conits, Family Court Judge

---

Unpublished Opinion No. 2022-UP-182  
Submitted April 14, 2022 – Filed April 22, 2022

---

**AFFIRMED**

---

Robert Mills Ariail, Jr., of Law Office of R. Mills Ariail,  
Jr., of Greenville, for Appellant.

Rebecca Rush Wray, of South Carolina Department of  
Social Services, of Greenville, for Respondent.

Robert A. Clark, of Greenville, for the Guardian ad Litem.

---

**PER CURIAM:** Sara Amanda Anglin a/k/a Sara Amanda Bailey appeals the family court's final order terminating her parental rights to three of her minor children and ordering a permanent plan of relative placement for two of her minor children. *See* S.C. Code Ann. § 63-7-2570 (Supp. 2021). Upon a thorough review of the record and the family court's findings of fact and conclusions of law pursuant to *Ex parte Cauthen*, 291 S.C. 465, 354 S.E.2d 381 (1987),<sup>1</sup> we find no meritorious issues warrant briefing. Accordingly, we affirm the family court's ruling and relieve Anglin's counsel.

**AFFIRMED.**<sup>2</sup>

**GEATHERS and HILL, JJ., and LOCKEMY, A.J., concur.**

---

<sup>1</sup> *See also* S.C. Dep't of Soc. Servs. v. Downer, S.C. Sup. Ct. Order dated Feb. 2, 2005 (expanding the *Cauthen* procedure to situations when "an indigent person appeals from an order imposing other measures short of termination of parental rights").

<sup>2</sup> We decide this case without argument pursuant to Rule 215, SCACR.