

**THIS OPINION HAS NO PRECEDENTIAL VALUE. IT SHOULD NOT BE
CITED OR RELIED ON AS PRECEDENT IN ANY PROCEEDING
EXCEPT AS PROVIDED BY RULE 268(d)(2), SCACR.**

**THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
In The Court of Appeals**

South Carolina Department of Social Services,
Respondent,

v.

Tenisha Tate and Nathan Howie, Defendants,

Of whom Tenisha Tate is the Appellant.

In the interest of a minor under the age of eighteen.

Appellate Case No. 2022-000091

Appeal From Cherokee County
Kimaka Nichols-Graham, Family Court Judge

Unpublished Opinion No. 2022-UP-356
Submitted September 7, 2022 – Filed September 14, 2022

AFFIRMED

Heather Vry Scalzo, of Offit Kurman, of Spartanburg, for
Appellant.

Jacqueline A. Moss, of Law Firm of Jacqueline Moss, of
Spartanburg, as the Guardian ad Litem for Appellant.

Andrew Troy Potter, of Anderson, for Respondent.

Matthew P. Head, of Head Law Firm, LLC, of
Greenville, for the Guardian ad Litem for the minor
child.

PER CURIAM: Tenisha Tate appeals the family court's final order terminating her parental rights to her minor child. *See* S.C. Code Ann. § 63-7-2570 (Supp. 2021). Upon a thorough review of the record and the family court's findings of fact and conclusions of law pursuant to *Ex parte Cauthen*, 291 S.C. 465, 354 S.E.2d 381 (1987), we find no meritorious issues warrant briefing. Accordingly, we affirm the family court's ruling and relieve Tate's counsel.

AFFIRMED.¹

KONDUROS, HEWITT, and VINSON, JJ., concur.

¹ We decide this case without argument pursuant to Rule 215, SCACR.