## THIS OPINION HAS NO PRECEDENTIAL VALUE. IT SHOULD NOT BE CITED OR RELIED ON AS PRECEDENT IN ANY PROCEEDING EXCEPT AS PROVIDED BY RULE 268(d)(2), SCACR.

## THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA In The Court of Appeals

South Carolina Department of Social Services, Respondent,

v.

Jennifer Deloris Neidler and Armand Malik Black, Defendants,

Of whom Armand Malik Black is the Appellant.

In the interest of minors under the age of eighteen.

Appellate Case No. 2020-001003

\_\_\_\_\_

Appeal From Horry County Ronald R. Norton, Family Court Judge

\_\_\_\_

Unpublished Opinion No. 2020-UP-342 Submitted December 2, 2020 – Filed December 29, 2020

**AFFIRMED** 

Kimberly Yancey Brooks, of Kimberly Y. Brooks, Attorney at Law, of Greenville, for Appellant.

Ernest Joseph Jarrett, of Jenkinson Jarrett & Kellahan, PA, of Kingstree, for Respondent.

Michael Julius Schwartz, of Russell B. Long, PA; and Heather Marie Moore, of Axelrod & Associates, PA, both of Myrtle Beach, for the Guardian ad Litem.

\_\_\_\_

**PER CURIAM:** Armand Malik Black appeals the family court's final order terminating his parental rights to his minor child. *See* S.C. Code Ann. § 63-7-2570 (Supp. 2019). Upon a thorough review of the record and the family court's findings of fact and conclusions of law pursuant to *Ex parte Cauthen*, 291 S.C. 465, 354 S.E.2d 381 (1987), we find no meritorious issues warrant briefing. Accordingly, we affirm the family court's ruling and relieve Black's counsel.

AFFIRMED.<sup>1</sup>

LOCKEMY, C.J., and KONDUROS and MCDONALD, JJ., concur.

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> We decide this case without oral argument pursuant to Rule 215, SCACR.