

# IN THE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS OF TEXAS

# NO. PD-0489-13

#### HENRY JAMES KOHRHAMER, Appellant

v.

THE STATE OF TEXAS

## ON STATE'S PETITION FOR DISCRETIONARY REVIEW FROM THE FOURTEENTH COURT OF APPEALS HARRIS COUNTY

Per curiam.

### <u>O P I N I O N</u>

Appellant was convicted of injury to an elderly person and sentenced to 25 years in prison. On appeal, he argued that the evidence was insufficient to support the \$329 in court costs assessed against him in the judgment. The Court of Appeals agreed, relying on its own opinion in *Johnson v. State*, 389 S.W.3d 513 (Tex. App. – Houston [14<sup>th</sup> Dist.] 2012).

Kohrhamer v. State, No. 14-12-00814-CR, 2013 Tex. App. LEXIS 2793 (Tex. App. – Houston [14<sup>th</sup> Dist.] March 19, 2013) (not designated for publication).

The State has filed a petition for discretionary review of this decision. We recently handed down our opinion in *Johnson v. State*, No. PD-0193-13, 2014 Tex. Crim. App. LEXIS 240 (Tex. Crim. App. February 26, 2014), in which we set forth a roadmap for resolving questions regarding court costs. *See also Cardenas v. State*, No. PD-0733-13, 2014 Tex. Crim. App. LEXIS 236 (Tex. Crim. App. February 26, 2014).

The Court of Appeals in the instant case did not have the benefit of our opinion in *Johnson*. Accordingly, we grant the State's petition for discretionary review, vacate the judgment of the Court of Appeals, and remand this case to the Court of Appeals in light of our opinion in *Johnson*. No motion for rehearing will be entertained.

Delivered: April 16, 2014 Do Not Publish