

IN THE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS OF TEXAS

NO. PD-1078-13

MANUEL SANTOS MARTINEZ, Appellant

v.

THE STATE OF TEXAS

ON STATE'S PETITION FOR DISCRETIONARY REVIEW FROM THE FIRST COURT OF APPEALS HARRIS COUNTY

Per curiam.

OPINION

Appellant was initially placed on deferred adjudication probation. When his guilt was adjudicated, he was convicted of delivery of a controlled substance and sentenced to 35 years in prison. On appeal, he argued, among other things, that the evidence was insufficient to support the \$350 in court costs assessed against him in the judgment. The Court of Appeals

agreed and modified the judgment to reflect \$219 in court costs. Martinez v. State, No. 01-

12-00361-CR, 2013 Tex. App. LEXIS 9343 (Tex. App. – Houston [1st Dist.] July 30, 2013).

The State has filed a petition for discretionary review of this decision. We recently

handed down our opinion in Johnson v. State, No. PD-0193-13, 2014 Tex. Crim. App.

LEXIS 240 (Tex. Crim. App. February 26, 2014), in which we set forth a roadmap for

resolving questions regarding court costs. See also Cardenas v. State, No. PD-0733-13, 2014

Tex. Crim. App. LEXIS 236 (Tex. Crim. App. February 26, 2014); Perez v. State, No. PD-

0498-13, 2014 Tex. Crim. App. LEXIS 269 (Tex. Crim. App. March 12, 2014).

The Court of Appeals in the instant case did not have the benefit of our opinions in

Johnson and Perez. Accordingly, we grant the State's petition for discretionary review,

vacate the judgment of the Court of Appeals, and remand this case to the Court of Appeals

in light of our opinions in *Johnson* and *Perez*. We also this day refuse Appellant's petition

for discretionary review in this case. No motion for rehearing will be entertained.

Delivered: April 16, 2014

Do Not Publish