

## IN THE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS OF TEXAS

NO. PD-1756-13

KEVIN DEWAYNE WALTON, Appellant

v.

## THE STATE OF TEXAS

## ON STATE'S PETITION FOR DISCRETIONARY REVIEW FROM THE FOURTEENTH COURT OF APPEALS HARRIS COUNTY

Per curiam.

## **OPINION**

Appellant was convicted of harassment of a public servant and sentenced to 25 years in prison. On appeal, he argued, among other things, that the evidence was insufficient to support the \$274 in court costs assessed against him in the judgment. The Court of Appeals agreed, relying on its own opinion in *Johnson v. State*, 389 S.W.3d 513 (Tex. App. – Houston

WALTON - 2

[14<sup>th</sup> Dist.] 2012). Walton v. State, No. 14-12-00875-CR, 2013 Tex. App. LEXIS 14759

(Tex. App. – Houston [14<sup>th</sup> Dist.] December 5, 2013) (not designated for publication).

The State has filed a petition for discretionary review of this decision. We recently

handed down our opinion in Johnson v. State, No. PD-0193-13, 2014 Tex. Crim. App.

LEXIS 240 (Tex. Crim. App. February 26, 2014), in which we set forth a roadmap for

resolving questions regarding court costs. See also Cardenas v. State, No. PD-0733-13, 2014

Tex. Crim. App. LEXIS 236 (Tex. Crim. App. February 26, 2014).

The Court of Appeals in the instant case did not have the benefit of our opinion in

Johnson. Accordingly, we grant the State's petition for discretionary review, vacate the

judgment of the Court of Appeals, and remand this case to the Court of Appeals in light of

our opinion in Johnson. No motion for rehearing will be entertained.

Delivered: April 16, 2014

Do Not Publish