

IN THE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS OF TEXAS

NO. WR-78,004-01

EX PARTE ADAM RAY CAICEDO, Applicant

ON APPLICATION FOR A WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS CAUSE NO. 0802114D IN THE 371ST DISTRICT COURT FROM TARRANT COUNTY

Per curiam.

ORDER

Pursuant to the provisions of Article 11.07 of the Texas Code of Criminal Procedure, the clerk of the trial court transmitted to this Court this application for a writ of habeas corpus. *Ex parte Young*, 418 S.W.2d 824, 826 (Tex. Crim. App. 1967). Applicant was convicted of aggravated robbery and sentenced to twenty-five years' imprisonment. The Second Court of Appeals affirmed his conviction. *Caicedo v. State*, No. 02-02-00017-CR (Tex. App.—Fort Worth 2003, no pet.).

Applicant contends, among other things, that trial counsel failed to interview and subpoena Juan Fernandez and Enrique Rojas's girlfriend. He also contends that trial counsel failed to advise him that the decision to testify belonged to him, and told him he would not call him as a witness at

punishment.

On November 21, 2012, we remanded this application for a response from counsel and findings of fact and conclusions of law from the trial court. On remand, the State filed proposed findings and conclusions and raised laches. *See Ex parte Perez*, 398 S.W.3d 206 (Tex. Crim. App. 2013). After holding an evidentiary hearing, the trial court made findings and conclusions and recommended that we grant Applicant a new punishment hearing. The trial court did not, however, make findings and conclusions on laches.

In these circumstances, additional facts are needed. As we held in *Ex parte Rodriguez*, 334 S.W.2d 294, 294 (Tex. Crim. App. 1960), the trial court is the appropriate forum for findings of fact. The trial court may use any means set out in Tex. Code Crim. Proc. art. 11.07, § 3(d).

Applicant appears to be represented by counsel. If he is not and the trial court elects to hold a hearing, it shall determine whether Applicant is indigent. If Applicant is indigent and wishes to be represented by counsel, the trial court shall appoint an attorney to represent him at the hearing.

Tex. Code Crim. Proc. art. 26.04.

The trial court shall make further findings and conclusions on whether Applicant's claims are barred by the doctrine of laches. The trial court shall also make any other findings and conclusions that it deems relevant and appropriate to the disposition of Applicant's claims for habeas corpus relief.

This application will be held in abeyance until the trial court has resolved the fact issues. The issues shall be resolved within 90 days of this order. A supplemental transcript containing all affidavits and interrogatories or the transcription of the court reporter's notes from any hearing or deposition, along with the trial court's supplemental findings of fact and conclusions of law, shall

3

be forwarded to this Court within 120 days of the date of this order. Any extensions of time shall

be obtained from this Court.

Filed: June 11, 2014

Do not publish