

IN THE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS OF TEXAS

NOS. PD-0665-15 & PD-0666-15

GREGORIO GUERRERO, Appellant

v.

THE STATE OF TEXAS

ON APPELLANT'S PETITIONS FOR DISCRETIONARY REVIEW FROM THE FIRST COURT OF APPEALS HARRIS COUNTY

Per curiam. NEWELL, J., filed a concurring opinion. YEARY, J., filed a dissenting opinion.

OPINION

Appellant was convicted of aggravated robbery with a deadly weapon and evading arrest and sentenced to twenty years' and two years' imprisonment. On appeal, Appellant claimed that the comprehensive rehabilitation fee, assessed a part of the consolidated court costs in each case, was unconstitutional. The court of appeals held that this claim was not preserved for review because Appellant did not object to the imposition of the fee before the

2

trial court. The record reflects that the bill of costs in both cases was not prepared until

weeks after judgments were entered. Appellant did not have the opportunity to object to this

fee when it was imposed. In the context of court-cost challenges, an appellant may not be

faulted for failing to object when he or she was not given the opportunity to do so. London

v. State, 490 S.W.3d 503, 507 (Tex. Crim. App. 2016). Appellant was entitled to challenge

this mandatory court cost for the first time on appeal.

This Court recently determined that the comprehensive rehabilitation court cost is

unconstitutional as a violation of the Separation of Powers Clause of the Texas Constitution.

Salinas v. State, PDR No.-170-16, __ S.W.3d __ (Tex. Crim. App., March 8, 2017).

Pursuant to Salinas, the \$133 consolidated court cost should be reduced pro rata by the

percentage allocated to this impermissible account. The percentage attributable to the

"comprehensive rehabilitation" account under the statute is 9.8218 percent. TEX. LOCAL

GOV'T CODE §133.102(e)(6). That percentage of the \$133 fee assessed in appellant's cases

is \$13.06. Subtracting this amount from the \$133 yields a fee of \$119.94. We reverse the

judgment of the court of appeals with respect to the issue of court costs, and we modify the

trial court's judgments to change the \$133 consolidated fees to \$119.94.

Delivered: October 4, 2017

Do not publish