

IN THE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS OF TEXAS

NO. PD-1051-11

EX PARTE YEKATERINA TANKLEVSKAYA, Appellant

ON STATE'S PETITION FOR DISCRETIONARY REVIEW FROM THE FIRST COURT OF APPEALS HARRIS COUNTY

Per curiam. Alcala, J., not participating.

O P I N I O N

Appellant was convicted of possession of less than two ounces of marijuana. She was sentenced to four days in jail. Later, the Immigration and Naturalization Services initiated removal proceedings against her.

Appellant filed a writ application pursuant to Art. 11.072 alleging that she received ineffective assistance of counsel under *Padilla v. Kentucky*, 559 U.S. 356 (2010). The trial

TANKLEVSKAYA - 2

court denied relief. On appeal, the Court of Appeals reversed, holding that counsel was

ineffective under Padilla. Ex parte Tanklevskaya, 361 S.W.3d 86 (Tex. App. – Houston [1st

Dist.] 2011).

The State has filed a petition for discretionary review of this decision. The U.S.

Supreme Court recently held that, under Teague v. Lane, 489 U.S. 288 (1989), Padilla does

not have retroactive effect. Chaidez v. United States, U.S., 133 S. Ct. 1103 (2013).

Today, we adopted that Court's reasoning as a matter of state law in Ex parte De Los Reyes,

No. PD-1457-11, S.W.3d (Tex. Crim. App. March 20, 2013).

The Court of Appeals in the instant case did not have the benefit of our opinion in De

Los Reyes. Accordingly, we grant the State's petition for discretionary review, vacate the

judgment of the Court of Appeals, and remand this case to the Court of Appeals in light of

De Los Reyes.

DATE DELIVERED: March 20, 2013

PUBLISH