

IN THE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS OF TEXAS

NO. PD-1214-11

JASON THAD PAYNE, Appellant

v.

THE STATE OF TEXAS

ON APPELLANT'S PETITION FOR DISCRETIONARY REVIEW FROM THE TWELFTH COURT OF APPEALS WOOD COUNTY

MEYERS, J., filed a dissenting opinion.

DISSENTING OPINION

The majority reverses after concluding that the court of appeals erred in determining that the admission of several erroneously admitted victim statements was harmless. I disagree with this conclusion. After examining the court of appeals opinion, I agree that the admission of the statements was harmless error, and feel that the analysis conducted by the court of appeals is more accurate than the analysis conducted by the

Payne Dissent-Page 2

majority. There is no way a rational trier of fact would have reached a different result had

the error not occurred.1

With these comments, I respectfully dissent.

Meyers, J.

Filed: February 27, 2013

Do Not Publish

¹Tillman v. State, 376 S.W.3d 188 (Tex. App.–Houston [14th Dist.] 2012]