



## IN THE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS OF TEXAS

NOS. WR-78,648-01 & WR-78,648-02

**EX PARTE ROBERT GAMBLE, Applicant**

ON APPLICATIONS FOR WRITS OF HABEAS CORPUS  
CAUSE NOS. 937904 & 937905 IN THE 262<sup>ND</sup> DISTRICT COURT  
FROM HARRIS COUNTY

*Per curiam.* ALCALA, J., *not participating.*

### ORDER

Pursuant to the provisions of Article 11.07 of the Texas Code of Criminal Procedure, the clerk of the trial court transmitted to this Court these applications for writs of habeas corpus. *Ex parte Young*, 418 S.W.2d 824, 826 (Tex. Crim. App. 1967). Applicant was convicted of two charges of burglary of a habitation and sentenced to thirty years' imprisonment in Cause No. 937904 and to seventeen years' imprisonment in Cause No. 937905. The First Court of Appeals affirmed his convictions. *Gamble v. State*, Nos. 01-03-00203-CR & 01-03-00204-CR (Tex. App.—Houston [1<sup>st</sup> Dist.] June 10, 2004) (unpublished).

Applicant contends that his convictions violated double jeopardy and that trial counsel rendered ineffective assistance because, *inter alia*, counsel did not raise double jeopardy.

Applicant has alleged facts that, if true, might entitle him to relief. *Strickland v. Washington*, 466 U.S. 668 (1984); *Ex parte Cavazos*, 203 S.W.3d 333, 335, 357 (Tex. Crim. App. 2006). In these circumstances, additional facts are needed. As we held in *Ex parte Rodriguez*, 334 S.W.2d 294, 294 (Tex. Crim. App. 1960), the trial court is the appropriate forum for findings of fact. The trial court shall order trial counsel to respond to Applicant's claim of ineffective assistance of counsel. The trial court may use any means set out in TEX. CODE CRIM. PROC. art. 11.07, § 3(d). In the appropriate case, the trial court may rely on its personal recollection. *Id.*

If the trial court elects to hold a hearing, it shall determine whether Applicant is indigent. If Applicant is indigent and wishes to be represented by counsel, the trial court shall appoint an attorney to represent Applicant at the hearing. TEX. CODE CRIM. PROC. art. 26.04.

The trial court shall make findings of fact and conclusions of law as to whether convictions for both offenses violate principles of double jeopardy. The trial court shall also make findings of fact and conclusions of law as to whether the performance of Applicant's trial counsel was deficient and, if so, whether counsel's deficient performance prejudiced Applicant. The trial court shall also make any other findings of fact and conclusions of law that it deems relevant and appropriate to the disposition of Applicant's claims for habeas corpus relief.

These applications will be held in abeyance until the trial court has resolved the fact issues. The issues shall be resolved within 90 days of this order. A supplemental transcript containing all affidavits and interrogatories or the transcription of the court reporter's notes from any hearing or deposition, along with the trial court's supplemental findings of fact and conclusions of law, shall be forwarded to this Court within 120 days of the date of this order. Any extensions of time shall be obtained from this Court.

Filed: December 19, 2012  
Do not publish