

IN THE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS OF TEXAS

NO. WR-9,626-14

LEROY ETHRIDGE, Relator

v.

HARRIS COUNTY DISTRICT CLERK, Respondent

ON APPLICATION FOR A WRIT OF MANDAMUS CAUSE NO. 1165059 IN THE 230th DISTRICT COURT FROM HARRIS COUNTY

Per curiam.

<u>ORDER</u>

Relator has filed a motion for leave to file a writ of mandamus pursuant to the original jurisdiction of this Court. In it, he contends that he filed an application for a writ of habeas corpus in the 230th District Court of Harris County, that more than 35 days have elapsed, and that the application has not yet been forwarded to this Court.

In these circumstances, additional facts are needed. Respondent, the District Clerk of Harris County, is ordered to file a response, which may be made by submitting the record on such habeas

2

corpus application, submitting a copy of a timely filed order which designates issues to be

investigated (see McCree v. Hampton, 824 S.W.2d 578, 579 (Tex. Crim. App. 1992)), or stating that

Relator has not filed an application for a writ of habeas corpus in Harris County. Should the

response include an order designating issues, proof of the date the district attorney's office was

served with the habeas application shall also be submitted with the response. This application for

leave to file a writ of mandamus shall be held in abeyance until Respondent has submitted the

appropriate response. Such response shall be submitted within 30 days of the date of this order.

Filed: November 28, 2012

Do not publish