

COURT OF APPEALS EIGHTH DISTRICT OF TEXAS EL PASO, TEXAS

IN RE: ROSA SERRANO INDIVIDUALLY,		§	
		§	No. 08-14-00308-CV
	,	§	AN ORIGINAL PROCEEDING
	Relator.	§	IN MANDAMUS
		§	

MEMORANDUM OPINION

Relator, Rosa Serrano, *pro se*, has filed a petition for writ of mandamus against the Honorable Virgil Mulanax requesting that that we order him to judicially foreclose on a constitutional lien against real property owned by the Real Party in Interest, Pellicano Business Park, L.L.C.¹ On December 19, 2014, we issued an opinion denying mandamus relief. Relator filed a motion for rehearing and a motion to recuse the Honorable Steven Hughes, Justice of the Eighth Court of Appeals. She has also filed a motion requesting expedited disposition of her motions. The motion for rehearing and the motion for expedited disposition are granted. Because Justice Hughes has voluntarily recused himself from this case, the motion to recuse is

¹ The mandamus record reflects that the Honorable Thomas Spieczny, Judge of the County Court at Law No. 7 of El Paso County, Texas, entered several orders in a case styled *In re: Purported Mechanical and Materialman's Lien Claim of Lien Against Pellicano Business Park, L.L.C.*, cause number 2012-DCV06341. The Real Party in Interest filed a motion to recuse Judge Spieczny. The mandamus record does not affirmatively reflect that Judge Spieczny has been recused from the underlying case or that Judge Mulanax has been assigned to it.

denied as moot. The opinion and judgment issued on December 19, 2014 are withdrawn. The following is the opinion of the Court.

To be entitled to mandamus relief, Relator must meet two requirements. *In re Prudential Insurance Company of America, L.L.C.*, 148 S.W.3d 124, 135 (Tex. 2004); *Walker v. Packer*, 827 S.W.2d 833, 840 (Tex. 1992). First, she must show that the trial court clearly abused its discretion. *Prudential*, 148 S.W.3d at 135. Second, she must demonstrate that there is no adequate remedy by appeal. *In re Prudential*, 148 S.W.3d at 135; *In re McAllen Medical Center, Inc.*, 275 S.W.3d 458, 462 (Tex. 2008). Based on the petition and record before us, we conclude that Relator has failed to establish that she is entitled to mandamus relief. *See* TEX.R.APP.P. 52.8. Accordingly, the petition is denied.

January 15, 2014

ANN CRAWFORD McCLURE, Chief Justice

Before McClure, C.J., Rodriguez, and Hughes, JJ. (Hughes, J., not participating)