

## COURT OF APPEALS EIGHTH DISTRICT OF TEXAS EL PASO, TEXAS

		§	
TEXAS DEPARTMENT	OF		No. 08-16-00049-CV
TRANSPORTATION,		§	
			Appeal from the
	Appellant,	§	
	11	Ü	171st District Court
V.		§	
		9	of El Paso County, Texas
GENARO FLORES,		§	•
,		· ·	(TC# 2014-DCV1263)
	Appellee.	§	,

## **OPINION**

The Texas Department of Transportation is appealing from an order denying its plea to the jurisdiction. The case proceeded to trial during the pendency of this appeal, and a final judgment has been entered in favor of Flores. Appellee, Genaro Flores, has filed a motion to dismiss the appeal as moot. TXDOT does not oppose the dismissal because it has filed a notice of appeal from the final judgment.<sup>1</sup> We grant the motion and dismiss the appeal.

A trial court's rendition of a final judgment can cause an appeal from an interlocutory order to become moot. *See Hernandez v. Ebrom*, 289 S.W.3d 316, 319 (Tex. 2009) ("Appeals of some interlocutory orders become moot because the orders have been rendered moot by subsequent orders."). Further, interlocutory orders entered by the court are merged into the final judgment. *See Parking Company of America v. Wilson*, 58 S.W.3d 742, 742 (Tex. 2001); *Azbill v. Dallas* 

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The new appeal is styled *Texas Department of Transportation v Flores* and numbered 08-17-00047-CV.

County Child Protective Services, 860 S.W.2d 133, 137 (Tex.App.--Dallas 1993, no writ). Thus,

the interlocutory order denying TXDOT's plea to the jurisdiction is merged into the final judgment

entered by the trial court on February 15, 2017. Our review in the interlocutory appeal is

necessarily restricted to the order denying TXDOT's plea to the jurisdiction, and it does not

encompass or extend to the final judgment. In other words, our decision in the interlocutory appeal

could not have a practical effect on the final judgment. TXDOT must appeal the final judgment

in order to challenge the denial of its plea to the jurisdiction. Consequently, we conclude that the

interlocutory appeal has become moot. See Texas Department of Public Safety v. Alexander, No.

03-04-00439-CV (Tex.App.--Austin April 14, 2005, no pet.)(dismissing interlocutory appeal from

order denying plea to the jurisdiction as moot where trial court had entered final summary

judgment);<sup>2</sup> Lincoln Property Company v. Kondos, 110 S.W.3d 712, 715-16 (Tex.App.--Dallas

2003, no pet.)(finding that appeal of interlocutory order granting class certification became moot

following entry of a final summary judgment because the order was merged into the final judgment

and any decision made in the interlocutory appeal could not have a practical effect on the rights of

the parties). We grant Flores's motion and dismiss the appeal. Upon the request of either party,

we will transfer the appellate record filed in this appeal to the new appeal.

ANN CRAWFORD McCLURE, Chief Justice

March 8, 2017

Before McClure, C.J., Rodriguez, and Hughes, JJ.

Hughes, J., not participating

<sup>2</sup> The opinion is unavailable on Westlaw, but it can be viewed on the Third Court of Appeals' website.

- 2 -