



COURT OF APPEALS
EIGHTH DISTRICT OF TEXAS
EL PASO, TEXAS

JEFFREY HAUSE,	§	No. 08-20-00197-CV
	§	
Appellant,	§	Appeal from the
	§	
v.	§	34th Judicial District Court
	§	
LG CHEM, LTD.,	§	of El Paso County, Texas
	§	
Appellee.	§	(TC# 2018DCV3420)

DISSENTING OPINION

A bedrock tenet of specific jurisdiction law is that the plaintiff's injury claim must arise out of or relate to the non-resident's contacts with the forum state. *Bristol-Myers Squibb Co. v. Superior Ct. of California, San Francisco County*, 137 S.Ct. 1773, 1780 (2017). The majority opinion ably details LG Chem, Ltd.'s contacts with Texas. For the reasons explained by three intermediate appellate courts, however, I fail to find that those contacts are sufficiently connected with Mr. Hause's injury to establish specific jurisdiction over LG Chem, Ltd. *See LG Chem, Ltd. v. Turner*, No. 14-19-00326-CV, 2021 WL 2154075, at *1 (Tex.App.--Houston [14th Dist.] May 27, 2021, no pet.) (mem. op.); *Schexnider v. E-Cig Central, LLC*, No. 06-20-00003-CV, 2020 WL 6929872, at *9 (Tex.App.--Texarkana Nov. 25, 2020, no pet.) (mem. op.); *LG Chem, Ltd. v. Superior Ct. of San Diego County*, 295 Cal.Rptr.3d 661, 677 (Cal.App. 4th Dist. 2022). Lacking

the prose to better explain the argument than the authors of these three opinions, I defer and adopt their reasoning and rationale. And consequently, I respectfully dissent.

October 6, 2022

JEFF ALLEY, Justice

Before Rodriguez, C.J., Palafox, and Alley, JJ.