

Opinion filed May 2, 2013



In The

Eleventh Court of Appeals

No. 11-12-00365-CR

JUAN ISAIAS CORTEZ, Appellant

V.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee

On Appeal from the 106th District Court
Dawson County, Texas
Trial Court Cause No. 11-7102

MEMORANDUM OPINION

Juan Isaias Cortez pleaded guilty in November 2011 to sexual assault. The trial court deferred a finding of guilt and placed him on deferred adjudication community supervision for a term of ten years. The State subsequently filed a motion to adjudicate alleging multiple violations of the terms and conditions of community supervision. The trial court heard the motion to proceed on November 30, 2012. Appellant pleaded “not true” to all of the alleged violations. At the

conclusion of the hearing, the trial court found all of the alleged violations to be true, adjudicated appellant guilty of the charged offense, and assessed his punishment at confinement in the Institutional Division of the Texas Department of Criminal Justice for a term of fifteen years and a fine of \$1,000. We dismiss the appeal.

Appellant's court-appointed counsel has filed a motion to withdraw. The motion is supported by a brief in which counsel professionally and conscientiously examines the record and applicable law and states that he has concluded that the appeal is frivolous. Counsel has provided appellant with a copy of the brief and advised appellant of his right to review the record and file a response to counsel's brief. A response has not been filed.¹ Court-appointed counsel has complied with the requirements of *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967); *In re Schulman*, 252 S.W.3d 403 (Tex. Crim. App. 2008); *Stafford v. State*, 813 S.W.2d 503 (Tex. Crim. App. 1991); *High v. State*, 573 S.W.2d 807 (Tex. Crim. App. 1978); *Currie v. State*, 516 S.W.2d 684 (Tex. Crim. App. 1974); *Gainous v. State*, 436 S.W.2d 137 (Tex. Crim. App. 1969); and *Eaden v. State*, 161 S.W.3d 173 (Tex. App.—Eastland 2005, no pet.). Following the procedures outlined in *Anders* and *Schulman*, we have independently reviewed the record, and we agree that the appeal is without merit and should be dismissed. *Schulman*, 252 S.W.3d at 409.

We note that counsel has the responsibility to advise appellant that he may file a petition for discretionary review with the clerk of the Texas Court of Criminal Appeals seeking review by that court. TEX. R. APP. P. 48.4 (“In criminal cases, the attorney representing the defendant on appeal shall, within five days after the opinion is handed down, send his client a copy of the opinion and judgment, along with notification of the defendant’s right to file a *pro se* petition

¹By letter, this court granted Appellant thirty days in which to exercise his right to file a response to counsel's brief.

for discretionary review under Rule 68.”). Likewise, this court advises appellant that he may file a petition for discretionary review pursuant to TEX. R. APP. P. 68.

The motion to withdraw is granted, and the appeal is dismissed.

PER CURIAM

May 2, 2013

Do not publish. *See* TEX. R. APP. P. 47.2(b).

Panel consists of: Wright, C.J.,
McCall, J., and Willson, J.